

ON THE EXTREME POINTS OF CLASSES OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Samah Khairi Ajaib¹ , Aisha Ahmed Amer² and Hanan Mohamed Almarwm³

^{1,2,3} Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science -Al-Khoms, El-Mergib University.

Abstract :

The primary motivation of the paper is to define a new class $\mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ which consists of univalent functions and study some properties of certain subclass of analytic functions which defined by generalized derivative operator on the open unit disc .

المخلص (باللغة العربية):

الدافع الأساسي لهذا البحث هو تعريف فئة جديدة $\mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ والتي تتكون من دوال أحادية التكافؤ ودراسة بعض خصائص فئة معينة من الدوال التحليلية التي تم تعريفها بواسطة عامل مشتق معمم على قرص الوحدة المفتوح .

Keywords: Analytic function, Hadamard product, Unit disk, Convex's order, Convex linear combination.

1. Introduction

Let the functions $f(z)$ in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z: |z| < 1; z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ belong to the class \mathcal{A} which is taking of the form :

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) , \quad (1)$$

where a_k is a complex number .

The Hadamard product of two analytic functions f and g denoted by $f * g$, where $f(z)$ form (1) and $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k ; (z \in \mathbb{U})$, is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = f(z) * g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \quad , \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

And by using this product, Amer and Darus (Amer & Darus, 2011) they have recently introduced a new generalized derivative operator.

Definition 1:

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ the operator $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)$ is defined by $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

$$I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z) = \varphi^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)(z) * R^n f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) ,$$

where $m \in N_0 = \{0,1,2, \dots\}$ and $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0, \ell \geq 0$. and $R^n f(z)$ denotes the Ruseheweyh derivative operator and given by

$$R^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c(n, k) a_k z^k , \quad (n \in N_0, z \in \mathbb{U})$$

where $c(n, k) = \frac{(n+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}}$.

If $f(z)$ given by (1), then we easily find from

$$I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\lambda_1(k-1)+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2(k-1))^m} c(n, k) a_k z^k , \rightarrow (2)$$

where $n, m \in N_0 = \{0,1,2, \dots\}$ and $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0, \ell \geq 0$.

Some special cases of this operator includes :

- The Ruscheweyh derivative operator (Ruscheweyh, 1975) in the cases :

$$I^1(\lambda_1, 0, l, n) \equiv I^1(\lambda_1, 0, 0, n) \equiv I^1(0, 0, l, n) \equiv I^0(0, \lambda_2, 0, n) \equiv I^0(0, 0, 0, n) \\ \equiv I^{m+1}(0, 0, l, n) \equiv I^{m+1}(0, 0, 0, n) \equiv \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- The Salagean derivative operator(Salagean, 2006):

$$I^{m+1}(1, 0, 0, 0) \equiv D^n.$$

- The generalized Salagean derivative operator introduced by Al-Oboudi (Al-Oboudi, 2004) :

$$I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, 0, 0, 0) \equiv D_{\beta}^n.$$

Using simple computation one obtains the next result

$$(\ell + 1)I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z) = (1 + \ell - \lambda_1)(I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n) * \varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)(z))f(z) + \lambda_1 z \left(I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n) * \varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)f(z) \right)' , \rightarrow (3)$$

where $(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and $\varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)(z)$ analytic function given by

$$\varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda_2(k - 1))} z^k. \rightarrow (4)$$

Now, from equation (2) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n) * \varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)f(z) \right)' \\ &= \left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(k - 1) + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_2(k - 1))^m} c(n, k) a_k z^k * z \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda_2(k - 1))} z^k \right)' \\ &= \left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(k - 1) + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_2(k - 1))^m} c(n, k) a_k z^k \right)' \\ &= \left(I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z) \right)' \end{aligned}$$

So, from equation (3), we obtain

$$z \left(I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z) \right)' = \frac{(\ell+1)}{\lambda_1} I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z) - \frac{(1+\ell-\lambda_1)}{\lambda_1} (I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z)). \rightarrow (5)$$

Definition 2:

Let $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0, l \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$, and $f \in T$, such that $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. We say that $f \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : Re \left\{ \frac{z \nabla_q (I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z))}{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)} \right\} > \gamma, \right\}$$

When ∇_q denote to the Jackson's q- derivative [2].

Now, we define the class given by $\mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma)$.

The functions that belong to this class satisfy the following properties:

1. The function $f \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q - \gamma) \Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) a_k \leq 1 - \gamma. \tag{6}$$

When

$$a_i = \frac{d_i(1-\gamma)}{([i]_q - \gamma)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)}, \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3, \dots, n. \quad (7)$$

And $[k]_q = \frac{1-q^k}{1-q}$, $[0]_q = 0$.

2. If $f \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma)$, such that

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1-\gamma}{([k]_q - \gamma)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)} z^k. \quad (8)$$

Then we have

$$a_k \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{([k]_q - \gamma)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)}. \quad (9)$$

Definition 3:

Let $\mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma, d_n)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma)$ consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{d_i(1-\gamma)}{([i]_q - \gamma)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)} z^i - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \quad (10)$$

Where $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=2}^n d_i \leq 1$.

This subclass satisfied the following:

1. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma)$, Then $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma, d_n)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ([k]_q - \gamma)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)a_k \leq (1-\gamma)(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n d_i). \quad (11)$$

2. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma, d_n)$, and satisfied equations (7) and (10), then

$$a_k \leq \frac{(1-\gamma)(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n d_i)}{([k]_q - \gamma)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)}, \quad k \geq n+1. \quad (12)$$

3. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma, d_n)$, then

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \leq \frac{[n+1]_q(1-\gamma)(1-\sum_{i=2}^n d_i)}{([n+1]_q - \gamma)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n+1)}. \quad (13)$$

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{d_i(1-\gamma)}{([i]_q - \gamma)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)} z^i - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\gamma)\sum_{i=2}^n d_i}{([k]_q - \gamma)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)} z^i. \quad (14)$$

All the properties mentioned previously have been proven in a previous study [2].

Main Result:

Theorem 1

If t_0 is the largest value for which

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{[i]_q d_i(1-\alpha)([i-1]_q + 1 - \tau)}{([i]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} t_0^{i-1} \\ & + \frac{[k]_q([k-1]_q + 1 - \tau)(1-\alpha)(1-\sum_{i=2}^n d_i)}{([k]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} t_0^{k-1} \\ & \leq 1 - \tau \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

And $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ be defined by (9), and satisfied (14), then $f(z)$ is convex of order τ , ($0 \leq \tau < 1$), in $(0 < |z| < t_0)$, for $k \geq n + 1$.

Proof:

To prove that , the order of convex to $f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ is τ , we have to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 - \tau, \quad (|z| < t_0). \quad (16)$$

i.e

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{[i]_q d_i (1-\alpha) [i-1]_q}{([i]_q - \alpha) \Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} |z|^{i-1} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [k]_q [k-1]_q a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{[i]_q d_i (1-\alpha)}{([i]_q - \alpha) \Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} |z|^{i-1} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k |z|^{k-1}}. \quad (17)$$

Now, from (9), (15), when $(|z| < t_0)$, we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{[i]_q d_i (1-\alpha) ([i-1]_q + 1 - \tau)}{([i]_q - \alpha) \Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} |z|^{i-1} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [k]_q ([k-1]_q + 1 - \tau) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1 - \tau. \quad (18)$$

Hence by (8) and (18) we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{[i]_q d_i (1-\alpha) ([i-1]_q + 1 - \tau)}{([i]_q - \alpha) \Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} |z|^{i-1} + \frac{[k]_q ([k-1]_q + 1 - \tau) (1 - \sum_{i=2}^n d_i)}{([k]_q - \alpha) \Psi_{q,k}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} |z|^{k-1} \leq 1 - \tau. \quad (19)$$

Theorem 2 :

The class $\Phi_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ is closed under convex linear combination.

Proof:

Let $f(z)$ be defined by (10). Define the function $g(z)$ by

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{d_i (1-\alpha)}{([i]_q - \alpha) \Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} z^i + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k. \quad (20)$$

Suppose that $f(z), (z) \in \Phi_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$, let

$$H(z) = \beta f(z) + (1 - \beta)g(z) \quad (0 \leq \beta \leq 1), \quad (21)$$

We have to prove that $H(z) \in \Phi_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$, Since

$$H(z) = z - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{d_i(1-\alpha)}{([i]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} z^i + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (\beta a_k + (1-\beta)b_k)z^k, \quad (22)$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{([k]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{(1-\alpha)} (\beta a_k + (1-\beta)b_k) \leq \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n d_i\right), \quad (23)$$

From (8), we conclude that $H(z) \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$,

So, the class $\mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ is closed under convex linear combination.

Corollary 1:

Let $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$, such that

$$f_j(z) = z - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{d_i(1-\alpha)}{([i]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} z^i - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{kj}z^k. \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s. \quad (19)$$

Then the function $B(z)$ defined by

$$B(z) = \sum_{j=1}^s e_j f_j(z) \quad (e_j \geq 0), \quad (25)$$

is also in the class $\mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$, where $\sum_{j=1}^s e_j = 1$.

Corollary. 2

Let the function $f_j(z)$ defined by (24) be in the class $\mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, then the function $C(z)$ defined by

$$C(z) = z - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{d_i(1-\alpha)}{([i]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} z^i - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k. \quad (26)$$

Also be in the class $\mathcal{O}_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$, where

$$b_k = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=2}^s a_{ki} .$$

The corollaries (1), (2) are generalize theorem (2).

Theorem 3

Let

$$f_n(z) = z - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{d_i(1-\alpha)}{([i]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} z^i, \quad (27)$$

And

$$f_k(z) = z - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{d_i(1-\alpha)}{([i]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} z^i - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\sum_{i=2}^n d_i)}{([k]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} z^k \quad (28)$$

For $k > n + 1$. Then the function $f(z)$ is in the class $\Phi_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} p_j f_j(z) \quad \text{where } (p_j \geq 0, j \geq n), \text{ and } \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} p_j = 1. \quad (29)$$

Proof:

Let the function $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form (30). Then we get

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{d_i(1-\alpha)}{([i]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,i}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} z^i - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k(1-\alpha)(1-\sum_{i=2}^n d_i)}{([k]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} z^k \quad (30)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k(1-\alpha)(1-\sum_{i=2}^n d_i)([k]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{([k]_q - \alpha)\Psi_{q,k}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(1-\alpha)} \\ &= \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n d_i\right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} p_k \\ &= \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n d_i\right) (1 - p_n) \leq \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n d_i\right) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Then $f(z) \in \Phi_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$.

Conversely, assuming that $f(z)$ defined by (10), be in class $\Phi_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ which satisfies (12) for $k \geq n + 1$, we obtain

$$p_k = \frac{([k]_q - \alpha) \Psi_{q,k}^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n d_i)} a_k \leq 1,$$

And

$$p_k = 1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_i$$

Corollary. 3

The extreme point of the class $\Phi_q^m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha, d_n)$ are the function $f_k(z)$ ($k \geq n$) given by in theorem 3 .

Conclusion

in this work , by generalized derivative operator we define the class given by $\Phi_q^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \gamma)$ of analytic functions, and properties are derived .

Many other work on analytic functions related to derivative operator can be read in (Shmella & Amer, 2024a), (Amer & Alabbar, 2017) ,(Amer, Alshbear, & Alabbar, 2017) ,(Shmella & Amer, 2024b). There are times, functions are associated with create new classes and linear operators). Many results are considered with numerous properties are solved and obtained.

References

- [1] Abufares ,F.and Amer .A , (2023) , Some Applications of Fractional Differential Operators in the Field of Geometric Function .Theory,Conference on basic sciences and their applications .
- [2] Hanan Mohamed Almarwm, Aisha Ahmed Amer and Samah Khairi Ajaib , (2024), The Coefficient Estimates for A New Class of Analytic Functions with Negative Coefficients. *المجلد التاسع العدد الثاني , مجلة جامعة بني وليد للعلوم الإنسانية والتطبيقية*
- [2] Al-Oboudi, F.M. (2004) , On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*,. p. 1429-1436.

- [3] Al-Shaqsi, K. and Darus . M , (2008), Differential subordination with generalized derivative operator. *Int. J. Comp. Math. Sci*, **2** p. 75-78.
- [4] Al-Abbadi, M . and Darus . M, (2009) , Differential subordination for new generalised derivative operator. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis. Mathematics- Informatics*, **20**. p. 265-280.
- [5] Alabbar. N, Darus. M & Amer.A. (2023), Coefficient Inequality and Coefficient Bounds for a New Subclass of Bazilevic Functions. *Journal of Humanitarian and Applied Sciences*, **8** -496-506.
- [6] Amer, A.A. and Darus. M , (2011), On some properties for new generalized derivative operator. *Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS)*, **4** -(2): p. 91-101.
- [7] Amer.A , Darus , M and Alabbar .N .(2024) , Properties For Generalized Starlike and Convex Functions of Order , Fezzan University scientific Journal.
- [8] Annby. M. H and Mansour. Z. S, (2012) , *q*-Fractional Calculus Equations. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.*, Vol. **2056**, Springer, Berlin.
- [9] Aouf. M. K. , Darwish. H. E and Şal˘agean . G. S, (2001), , On a generalization of starlike functions with negative coefficients, *Math.*, Tome **66** - no. 1, 3–10.
- [10] Catas, A. and Borsa .E , (2009). On a certain differential sandwich theorem associated with a new generalized derivative operator. *General Mathematics*, **17**-p. 83-95.
- [11] Frasin . B.A (2006), Family of analytic functions of complex order, *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagogicae Ny* , **22** -no. 2, 179–191.
- [12] Ismail. M.E, Merkes. M.E , and David. M.E, (1990), A generalization of starlike functions, *Transit. Complex Variables, Theory Appl.* **61** ,77–84.
- [13] Jackson. F. H, (1908), On *q*-functions and a certain difference operator, *Trans. R. Soc. Edinb.*, **46**-253–281.
- [14] Ruscheweyh, S. (1975). New criteria for univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **49** -(1): p. 109-115.
- [15] Salagean, G.S. (1981), Subclasses of univalent functions, *Complex analysis-fifth Romanian-Finnish seminar*, **1** , 362-372. *Lecture Notes in Math*, 1983.
- [16] SALEH .Z. M. , MOSTAFA. A. O. (2022), Class of analytic univalent functions with fixed finite negative coefficients defined by *q*-analogue difference operator, *Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS)*, 15(4A), 2022, pp 955- 965, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47013/15.4.11>

[17] Shaqsi, K. and Darus . M , (2008) ,An operator defined by convolution involving the polylogarithms functions. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **4**. (1): p. 46.

[18] Shmella. E.K and Amer. A.A , (2023) , Estimation of the Bounds of Univalent Functional of Coefficients Apply the Subordination Method, *The Academic Open Journal Of Applied And Human Sciences* ,**5**,(2709-3344), issue (1)

[19] Silverman. H , (1975), Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 51 ,no. 1, 109–116.