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#### Abstract

Inverter design has changed greatly to fulfill the high expectations of technological developments, such as the operation of solar energy. This paper presents a new method to obtain an output voltage of 27 levels by using three asymmetric voltage sources and twelve power switches only instead of using fifty-two power switches and thirteen voltage sources, which leads to a reduction in costs and losses. The inverter was carried out by using the MATLAB Simulink program to install the inverter. THD\% was calculated and the results obtained were compared with other studies.
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## I. Introduction

Multilevel inverters (MLI) are now regarded as one of the most important research and developments. Because of their numerous applications in high and medium voltage levels of activity [1]. Multi-level inverters have several advantages over a two-level inverter, including reducing THD\%, reducing lower electromagnetic interference (EMI) and can operate in both high and medium power ratings [2]. In general, there are three main types of MLI are Cascaded HBridge (CHB), Flying Capacitor (FC) and Natural Point Clamped (NPC) [3].

CHB has a smaller number of components when compared with other two types. However, the main disadvantage of the cascaded hybrid bridge inverter is the number of DC sources and power switches increase with the output voltage level augmentation. Since each DC source needs a hybrid bridge cell and every cell consists of four switches [4]. To get 27 levels in output voltage waveform the CHB need 52 power switches and 13 DC supplies. The researchers in multilevel inverter have interested in reducing the number of switches and sources with to decrease the cost and losses. N.Prabaharan and K.Palanisamy in 2016 proposed a hybrid asymmetric MLI by using 5 DC supplies and 14 switches to get 27 level output voltage [5]. Saeid Deliri Khatoonabad and Kazem Varesi in 2020 introduce a novel construction of 27-level inverter by using 2

DC supplies, 13 unidirectional switches, 13 driver boards, 2 capacitors, and 1 diode [6].

This study proposes a new method of 27-level MLI uses 12 switches , 3 DC supplies and 16 diodes. MATLAB will be used to install the proposed inverter and measure the THD, and the results will be compared with other studies.

## II. METHODOLOGY

## A. Proposed Circuit Discription



Figure 1 Proposed topology of modified cascaded H bridge inverter
The Proposed inverter structure of a multi-level cascade inverter is seen in Fig. 1. It uses 12 switches and 3 DC supplies to create a 27 -level output voltage waveform. In MCMLI, the DC voltage sources are not equal (V1 V2 and $V 3$ in the ratio of $1: 3: 9$, respectively). All switches

S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, S9, S10, S11, and S12 cause changes in the output waveform's levels and polarity.
The circuit also consists of sixteen diodes to create paths and protect the $X$ connection switches (S9, S10, S11, S10) from reverse current flow.

## B. Intervals of the proposed inverter Operation

Fig. 3 shows the sample of operation intervals of the proposed inverter. The Proposed inverter operational intervals are depicted in Fig. 3. Where (A, B, C, and D) represent the positive half-cycle operating intervals and (E, F, G, and H) represent the negative half-cycle operating intervals. The direction of the stream is represented by the red line at each interval. The inverter will operate at 27 distinct periods during one entire cycle.

(A) $\mathrm{V} 0=1 \mathrm{~V}$

(B) $\mathrm{V} 0=3 \mathrm{~V}-1 \mathrm{~V}=2 \mathrm{~V}$

(C) $\mathrm{V} 0=9 \mathrm{~V}+3 \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{V} 1=11 \mathrm{~V}$

(D) $\mathrm{V} 0=1 \mathrm{~V}+3 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{V} 1=13 \mathrm{~V}$

(H) $\mathrm{V} 0=-1 \mathrm{~V}-3 \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{V} 1=-13 \mathrm{~V}$

Figure 3 (A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H) Operating intervals of the Proposed inverter

Table 1 shows the switch states, with value 1 that the switch is turned on and 0 signaling that the switch is turned off. The first 13 intervals show the switching states for positive half cycle modes, whereas the following 13 intervals show the switching states for negative half cycle modes. fig 4 shows the control signals to the inverter switches.

TABLE 1. SWITCHING STATES OF INVERTER

|  |  | Switching sequence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int | Output | Q | Q | Q | Q | Q | Q | Q | Q | Q | Q1 | Q1 | Q1 |
| erv | voltage | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 1 | Vo=0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | V = $=1 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | V = 2 Vdc | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Vo=3Vdc | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Vo=4Vdc | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | V o $=5 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | V o=6Vdc | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | Vo=7Vdc | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | V o $=8 \mathrm{~V}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | V o=9Vdc | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | V = $=10 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 | V = 11 Vdc | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Vo}=12 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{V} 0=13 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Vo=-1Vdc | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Vo=-2Vdc | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{Vo}=-3 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Vo=-4Vdc | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{Vo}=-5 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 20 | Voz=-6Vdc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 21 | Vo=-7Vdc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{Vo}=-8 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Vo=-9Vdc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | Vo=-10Vdc | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | $\mathrm{V}=-11 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | $\mathrm{V}=-12 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | $\mathrm{V}=-13 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## III. .SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulation model presented in Fig 4 is created in Matlab-Simulink software to evaluate the execution of the proposed inverter. At a fundamental frequency of 50 Hz , Proposed inverter is simulated. To produce a ternary source arrangement with a maximum output of 260 volt, the DC sources are set to $\mathrm{V} 1=20 \mathrm{volt}$, $\mathrm{V} 2=60 \mathrm{volt}, \mathrm{V} 3=180 \mathrm{volt}$, and the resistive load is set to 100ohm. The major switches in this circuit were BJT. PWM with selective harmonic elimination is used to
manage the output voltage. Figure 3 depicts the control signal for switches all switches are shown


Figure 4 control signals to the inverter switches
Figure. 5 shows the circuit of MCMLI as presented in simulation process in MATLAB program which is consisting of 12 switches and 16 diodes, 3 DC sources with 3 subsystems for the control circuit. The subsystem consists of logic gates AND, OR, and NOT, and pulse generators. The switching sequence for different step size is shown in table 2.


Figure 5 simulation diagram of the Proposed inverter
Table 2 shows the switching states and angles of all duration times for all cases.

TABLE 2. ANGLES OF ALL DURATION TIMES

| Interval <br> s | Output <br> voltage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Case2 } \\ 360 / 76=4.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | Case3 <br> $360 / 80=4$. <br> 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interval } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | Output voltag e | $\begin{gathered} \text { Case2 } \\ 360 / 76=4.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Case3 } \\ 360 / 80=4 . \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Vo=0 | 0 to 2.36 | 0 to 2.26 | 27 | Vo=0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 177.596 \text { to } \\ 182.332 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 177.75 \text { to } \\ & 182.25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | V o $=1 \mathrm{~V}$ | 2.36 to 7.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2.25 \text { to } \\ 6.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 28 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo=-} \\ 1 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 182.332 \text { to } \\ 187.068 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 182.25 \text { to } \\ 186.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{V}=2 \mathrm{~V}$ | 7.1 to 11.8 | $\begin{gathered} 6.75 \text { to } \\ 11.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 28 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vo}=- \\ 2 \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 187.068 \text { to } \\ 191.804 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 186.75 \text { to } \\ 191.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Vo=3V | $\begin{aligned} & 11.8 \text { to } \\ & 16.572 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.25 \\ \text { tol5.75 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V} 0=- \\ & 3 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 191.804 \text { to } \\ 196.54 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 191.25 \text { to } \\ 195.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | V o $=4 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 16.572 \text { to } \\ 21.308 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15.75 \text { to } \\ 20.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Voo=} \\ & \Delta \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 196.54 \text { to } \\ & 201.276 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 195.75 \text { to } \\ & 200.25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Vo=5V | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.308 \text { to } \\ 26.044 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.25 \text { to } \\ 24.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 31 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vo=- } \\ 5 \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 201.276 \text { to } \\ 206.012 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 200.25 \text { to } \\ 204.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{V}=6 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 26.044 & \text { to } \\ 30.78 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.75 \text { to } \\ 29.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 32 | Vo=6 V | $\begin{gathered} 206.012 \text { to } \\ 210.748 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 204.75 \text { to } \\ 209.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | Vo=7V | $\begin{gathered} 30.78 \text { to } \\ 35.516 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 29.25 \text { to } \\ 33.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 33 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vo}=- \\ 7 \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 210.748 \text { to } \\ 215.484 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 209.25 \text { to } \\ 213.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{V}=8 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.516 \text { to } \\ 40.252 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.75 \text { to } \\ 38.25 \end{gathered}$ | 34 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo}=- \\ 8 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 215.484 \text { to } \\ 220.22 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 213.75 \text { to } \\ 218.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | Vo=9V | $\begin{gathered} 40.252 \text { to } \\ 44.988 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.25 \text { to } \\ 42.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 35 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo=-} \\ 9 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 220.22 \text { to } \\ & 224.956 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 218.25 \text { to } \\ 222.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vo=10} \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.988 \text { to } \\ 49.724 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 42.75 \text { to } \\ 47.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Vo=-} \\ & 10 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 224.956 \text { to } \\ 229.692 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 222.75 \text { to } \\ 227.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 12 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo}=11 \\ \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.724 \text { to } \\ 54.46 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 47.25 \text { to } \\ 51.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 37 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Vo=- } \\ & 11 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 229.692 \text { to } \\ 234.428 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 227.25 \text { to } \\ 231.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 13 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vo}=12 \\ \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.46 \text { to } \\ 59.196 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 51.75 \text { to } \\ 56.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Vo}=- \\ & 12 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 234.428 \text { to } \\ 239.164 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 231.75 \text { to } \\ 236.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 14 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo}=13 \\ \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.196 \text { to } \\ 120.764 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 56.25 \text { to } \\ 123.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 39 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Vo=-} \\ & 13 \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 239.164 \text { to } \\ 300.732 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 236.25 \text { to } \\ 303.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 15 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo}=12 \\ \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 120.764 \text { to } \\ 125.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 123.75 \text { to } \\ 128.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Vo}=- \\ & 12 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 300.732 \text { to } \\ 305.468 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 303.75 \text { to } \\ 308.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 16 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo=11} \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 125.5 \text { to } \\ & 130.236 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 128.25 \text { to } \\ 132.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 41 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Vo=-} \\ & 11 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 305.468 \text { to } \\ 310.204 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 308.25 \text { to } \\ 312.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vo=10} \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 130.236 \text { to } \\ 134.972 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132.75 \text { to } \\ 137.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 42 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Vo=-} \\ & 10 \mathrm{~V} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 310.204 \text { to } \\ 314.94 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 312.75 \text { to } \\ 317.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 18 | Vo=9V | $\begin{gathered} \hline 134.972 \text { to } \\ 139.708 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 137.25 \text { to } \\ 141.75 \end{gathered}$ | 43 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Vo=- } \\ 9 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 314.94 \text { to } \\ 319.676 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 317.25 \text { to } \\ 321.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 19 | $\mathrm{V} 0=8 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 139.708 \text { to } \\ 144.444 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 141.75 \text { to } \\ 146.25 \end{gathered}$ | 44 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo}=- \\ 8 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 319.676 \text { to } \\ 324.412 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 321.75 \text { to } \\ 326.25 \end{gathered}$ |
| 20 | Vo=7V | $\begin{gathered} \hline 144.444 \text { to } \\ 149.18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 146.25 \text { to } \\ 150.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 45 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo=}=- \\ 7 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 324.412 \text { to } \\ 329.148 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 326.25 \text { to } \\ 330.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 21 | Vo=6V | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 149.18 \text { to } \\ & 153.916 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150.75 \text { to } \\ 155.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 46 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo}=- \\ 6 \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 329.148 \text { to } \\ 333.884 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 330.75 \text { to } \\ 335.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 22 | Vo=5V | $\begin{gathered} \hline 153.916 \text { to } \\ 158.652 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 155.25 \text { to } \\ 159.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 47 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Voo}=- \\ & 5 \mathrm{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 333.884 \text { to } \\ 338.62 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 335.25 \text { to } \\ 339.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 23 | $\mathrm{V}=4 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 158.652 \text { to } \\ 163.388 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 159.75 \text { to } \\ 164.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 48 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Vo}=- \\ 4 \mathrm{~V} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 338.62 \text { to } \\ 343.356 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 339.75 \text { to } \\ 344.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 24 | Vo=3V | $\begin{gathered} \hline 163.388 \text { to } \\ 168.124 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164.25 \text { to } \\ 168.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 49 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Vo=- } \\ 3 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 343.356 \text { to } \\ 348.092 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 344.25 \text { to } \\ 348.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{V}=2 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 168.124 \text { to } \\ 172.86 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 168.75 \text { to } \\ 173.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 50 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Vo=}=- \\ 2 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 348.092 \text { to } \\ 352.828 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 348.75 \text { to } \\ 353.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 26 | V o=1V | $\begin{gathered} 172.86 \text { to } \\ 177.596 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 173.25 \text { to } \\ 177.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 51 | $\mathrm{Voo=}$ | $\begin{gathered} 352.828 \text { to } \\ 357.564 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 353.25 \text { to } \\ 357.75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 27 | Vo=0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 177.596 \text { to } \\ 182.332 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 177.75 \text { to } \\ 182.25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 52 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Vo=- } \\ 0 \mathrm{~V} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 357.564 \text { to } \\ 360 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 357.75 \text { to } \\ 360 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Case 1: Simulation with resistive load:
In this case, using unequal space control as well but only the peak step is bigger than other steps by thirteen
times, the number of switching pulses is 74 pulses. In figure 6 the waveform of the current and voltage are shown.


Figure 6 current and voltage wave forms of case 1
Figure 7, shows the result of THD and harmonic orders, the biggest harmonic order is the fifth-order after that the third and seventh orders then around the switching frequency harmonics before and after the switching frequency. These are the seventy-third and seventy-fifth orders.


Figure 7 current and voltage wave form and the THD

## Case 2: Simulation with inductive load:

In this case use same control of case 1 with, $\mathrm{R}=80 \mathrm{ohm}$ and $\mathrm{XL}=20 \mathrm{ohm},(\mathrm{L}=64 \mathrm{mH})$ is used. In this case, using unequal space control, similar to case 1 in the previous section whose
the peak step is bigger than other steps by fifteen times. Figure 8 shows the waveform of the voltage and current and the effect of inductive loading on the current waveform is quite clear.


Figure 8 current and voltage wave forms with inductive load for case 2

Figure 9, shows the result of THD and harmonic orders, the biggest harmonics order are the third, fifth, seventh, ninth, and eleventh orders. This is the effect of inductive because it is filtering out the higher-order harmonics of the current waveform.
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Figure 9 current wave form and the THD for case 2 with inductive load
Figure 10, shows the result of THD and harmonic orders, the biggest harmonic order is the fifth-order after that the switching frequency effect for the harmonic orders and it generates two harmonics before and after the switching
frequency. These are the seventy-ninth and eighty-first order, and finally the seventh-order has big value.


Figure 10 current wave form and the THD for case 2 with inductive load Table 3 shows comparison of results obtained in this paper with other study results in terms of harmonic distortion.

TABLE 3. THD \% COMPARISON

| References | THD $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| This study | $4.95 \%$ |
| $[5]$ | $4.28 \%$ |
| $[6]$ | $1.93 \%$ |
| $[7]$ | Different value depends on |
|  | PWM type (from 4.41\% to |
|  | $5.73 \%$ ) |

## IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, a novel asymmetric cascaded multilevel inverter was presented to create 27 -level output waveforms. The Technological control of this circuit is PWM (selective harmonic elimination). In comparison to alternative topologies, a single phase 27 level reduced switches and DC sources. The suggested MLI has just 12 switches and three DC sources to provide a 27 -level output voltage. The inductive loading effect in filtering out the high order harmonics components is more noticeable in current waveforms but the voltage waveform is still unaffected. The simulation results reveal that the $\mathrm{THD}=4.95 \%$ for the proposed network's output voltages which is low when compared to other MLI networks and no filter is used.
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